

NHOHC Bill Analysis and Prioritization Tool
February 2017

Bill or A1:R15 LSR #	Subject	Key Sponsors	NHOHC Ranking	Shortterm impact on Oral Health?	Longterm impact on Oral Health?	Shortterm impact on OHC mission/goals?	Longterm impact on OHC mission/goals?	Is the impact positive or negative?	Impact the integration of OH and PC?	Impact on access?	Will this enhance existing OH programs?	Should the OHC develop a position?	Will this impact collaborative relationships?	Given limited resources, is OHC involvement meaningful?	Cost?	Is a Fiscal Note attached?	Ranking: 1 is high priority and activity; 2: Important. Monitored OHC. Maintain a database. Impact is direct or indirect; 3: No impact on OH but may impact Public Health. Follow the process and communicate ad lib.	Misc.
HB 230	CWF Change Referendum Procedure	Fraser	2						NO	NO	NO						2	ITL
HB 585-FN- LOCAL	CWF Abolishing Water Fluoridation	Fraser, Marple, Itse	1	YES	YES	YES	YES	Negative	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES	YES			1	ITL
HB 250	Establishing a commission to assess the benefits and costs of a "health care for all" program for New Hampshire	McNamara, Leishman, Butler, Smith	3	NO	NO	NO	NO	Positive	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO			3	
HB 638	Repealing the New Hampshire health protection program	Hoell, Itse	3	NO	YES	NO	YES	Positive	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO			3	

NHOHC Bill Analysis and Prioritization Tool
February 2017